

Categories: **Senate**

## Nelson: National exchange a dealbreaker

Sen. Ben Nelson (D-Neb.) said Monday that he would oppose any health care reform bill with a national insurance exchange, which he described as a dealbreaker.

"The national exchange is unnecessary and I wouldn't support something that would start us down the road of federal regulation of insurance and a single-payer plan," Nelson told reporters Monday.

If Senate Democrats still had 60 votes, this would matter a lot.

Before the Massachusetts election, the White House and Democratic leaders were attempting to negotiate a compromise that could win 60 votes in the Senate. And Nelson could have deprived House Democrats from securing what they have increasingly viewed as a must-have -- a national exchange rather than a series of state exchanges.

But for now, Democrats are trying to write a companion bill to the full Senate legislation that would need only 51 votes in the Senate. That means Nelson's threat to vote against any bill with a national exchange doesn't mean much.

Nelson knows it, too.

"Those who didn't want me to be the 60th vote, get their wish," he said.

A silver lining for Democrats: Nelson's statement underscores the difficulty they would have faced regardless of the Massachusetts race. Pelosi and Reid still would have struggled mightily to strike a deal that would have secured 218 votes in the House and 60 votes in the Senate.



One person recommends this. Sign Up to see what your friends recommend.

Posted by Carrie Budoff Brown 07:59 PM