IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,)
Plaintiff,)
\mathbf{v} .) Case No. 14-cv-01967-RMC
SYLVIA MATHEWS BURWELL, in her official capacity as Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, et al.,)))
Defendants.)

EXHIBIT BB

- 1 {York Stenographic Services, Inc.}
- 2 RPTS TOOT
- 3 HIF057.170
- 4 EXAMINING THE FISCAL YEAR 2016 HHS BUDGET
- 5 THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2015
- 6 House of Representatives,
- 7 Subcommittee on Health
- 8 Committee on Energy and Commerce
- 9 Washington, D.C.

- The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:03 a.m.,
- 11 in Room 2123 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Joe
- 12 Pitts [Chairman of the Subcommittee] presiding.
- 13 Members present: Representatives Pitts, Guthrie, Barton,
- 14 Whitfield, Shimkus, Murphy, Burgess, Lance, Griffith,
- 15 Bilirakis, Ellmers, Bucshon, Collins, Upton (ex officio),
- 16 Green, Engel, Capps, Schakowsky, Butterfield, Castor,

1177 infrastructure and expertise necessary to ensure quality care 1178 is available. So how do we ensure stability for these 1179 academic medical centers, and the patients they serve, if we 1180 put GME funding at risk? 1181 Secretary {Burwell.} We believe and hope that our proposal does not do that, and meets the objectives of making 1182 1183 sure we are training appropriate positions for both primary care and specialties, where we don't have as many as we 1184 1185 should, at the same time, making sure we target it. There is 1186 100 million for pediatric, and then a wider pool for 1187 competition. It is an issue that we want to meet the same 1188 objectives at the same time we do it in a fiscally 1189 responsible way. 1190 Mr. {Engel.} Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1191 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentleman. Now recognize 1192 the Vice Chairman of the Subcommittee, Mr. Guthrie, 5 minutes 1193 for questions. Mr. {Guthrie.} Thank you, Madam Secretary for coming, 1194 1195 and I really--and--look forward to working on 21st Century 1196 Cures, and all the things that we could work on over the next 1197 years as Vice Chair.

1198 But first I would like to direct your attention to the 1199 cost share reduction program contained in the ACA, 1200 specifically Sections 1402 and 1412. Does any part of this 1201 budget request, or does any part of this budget that we are 1202 talking about today request any new authority, including any 1203 transfer authority to pay insurers under the cost share 1204 reduction program? 1205 Secretary (Burwell.) With regard to the program, which, 1206 as a program, as you know, is about making sure that the 1207 costs of health care to this individuals that are coming into 1208 the marketplace is something that they afford, that is what 1209 it is about, and we believe that we do have the authorities 1210 to do the cost sharing. Mr. {Guthrie.} Is there any new authority requested in 1211 1212 this budget? 1213 Secretary (Burwell.) No new language. 1214 Mr. {Guthrie.} There is no new language? 1215. know it is up and running. I think we spent \$3 billion 1216 already on the cost share reduction program, that are then paid to insurers with taxpayer funds. The budget that is 1217 1218 being submitted estimates 11.2 billion over 2015-2016, and

1219 CBO says 175 billion over the next 10 years is what they have 1220 estimated. And could you cite where the appropriations 1221 authority is? You said you do believe you have the -- can you 1222 cite where that is? 1223 Secretary {Burwell.} We do believe we do, and I am sure 1224 you know that right now this is an issue that is under 1225 litigation, and a court case that has been brought. And so, 1226 with regard to that, that is an issue that I will let our 1227 colleagues at the Justice Department speak to, because of the 1228 place it is in litigation. 1229 Mr. {Guthrie.} I understand that, but we are doing 1230 oversight here. I am not an attorney, so--you had--in--when 1231 you were at OMB in 2014, there actually was a request in the 1232 2014 budget for direct appropriation, and that didn't happen, 1233 for whatever reason, but we are spending money. So whether 1234 we spend a penny or 100--this is \$175 billion over 10 year 1235 program. I mean, we feel like we--this is an oversight 1236 hearing, on a -- not a -- and so we feel like it is our 1237 responsibility to make sure to our taxpayers that we have 1238 good answers on where this is coming from. So we are just 1239 asking for where the appropriation comes from--

1240 Secretary {Burwell.} I understand--1241 Mr. {Guthrie.} --authority. Secretary {Burwell.} -- and I appreciate the question, 1242 1243 and I am sorry that it is in litigation. I wish we weren't 1244 in a place where we are in litigation, but once something has 1245 entered into that place, it does create a difficult 1246 circumstance. I respect the issue of oversight, but because 1247 the litigation has been brought by the House--Mr. {Guthrie.} Yeah. 1248 Secretary {Burwell.} --on this issue, we are in a place 1249 1250 where I think that is the appropriate place for this 1251 conversation. 1252 Mr. {Guthrie.} We are really--we are--I am just not 1253 aware of any pending litigation exception at oversight 1254 hearing questions, and--is there, like, a legal case, or 1255 authority, or did the Justice Department say you don't have 1256 to--1257 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to issues that are 1258 being litigated, generally those are matters that we refer, and let the Justice Department continue on. 1259 1260 Mr. {Guthrie.} And--that we have never been able to get

1261 an answer from the Administration for where the language--1262 there--nobody has even been able to point to us where that 1263 appropriation language comes from. And it was -- and you 1264 previously had requested appropriation. 1265 Let me ask you another question. You had recently--you said--you received--I think 18 employer groups sent you a 1266 1267 letter, urging that small groups be maintained at 50 1268 employees. And they were citing an actuarial analysis that 1269 showed when they go to 50--to 51, they use--actuarial 1270 analysis said that it would--estimated that 2/3 of the members -- so they would receive an increase, and -- of 18 1271 1272 percent. And I just don't believe that these small 1273 employers, 50 to 100 employees, can accept an 18 percent 1274 increase in their premiums. Also, the promise that if you 1275 like the plan, you can keep it, because if they have -- if the 1276 50 to 100 have to go into the new plan, they will have to 1277 meet the new--the requirements of the health care law that--1278 essential benefits, and the other things that have caused other people to lose their -- the plans that they liked, that 1279 1280 they could keep. 1281 And due to this impact, would you support allowing

1387 be a person with Alzheimer's on the -- as part of the group? 1388 Secretary {Burwell.} I want to get back to you 1389 directly, but it is my understanding that there is a person, 1390 that there is a slot, and that there--either there is or will 1391 be a person that does have that is part of the committee. I 1392 will want to get back to you on that, though, specifically. 1393 Ms. {Schakowsky.} Well, I want to thank you for the 1394 focus, and, as the coach here of the Seniors Task Force of 1395 the Democratic Caucus, I really want to work with you on 1396 that, because this is a problem affecting so many families 1397 and individuals. I appreciate it, and yield back. 1398 Secretary {Burwell.} Thank you. 1399 Mr. {Pitts.} Chair thanks the gentlelady. Now 1400 recognize the gentleman from Kentucky, Mr. Whitfield, 5 1401 minutes for questions. Mr. {Whitfield.} Thank you. Well, Secretary Burwell, I 1402 1403 also want to thank you for being with us today, and I want to 1404 just follow up on my colleague Brett Guthrie's question. 1405 are concerned about this cost reduction program because -- cost 1406 sharing program because it is 170 some billion dollars over a number of years, and we understand that that is one of the 1407

1408 issues involved in the lawsuit. But all we are asking you 1409 is, since you all are dispersing the money, what is your 1410 opinion as to where the appropriation is designated that you 1411 are working from? 1412 Secretary (Burwell.) This is an issue--as I said, I 1413 understand the question. We believe we have the authorities. 1414 With regard to the specifics of that, because we are in 1415 litigation--1416 Mr. {Whitfield.} But you can't tell us where the money 1417 is coming from? Secretary (Burwell.) With regard to having that 1418 1419 conversation, that is -- that is what the --1420 Mr. {Whitfield.} Were you instructed by DOJ not to answer that question? 1421 1422 Secretary (Burwell.) With regard to that specific 1423 issue, that is at the root of the litigation. 1424 Mr. {Whitfield.} Were you instructed by DOJ not to answer the question? 1425 Secretary {Burwell.} With regard to--when there are 1426 issues of litigation like this, I -- this is -- our standard --1427 Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, you know, yesterday we had Gina 1428

1429 McCarthy here, and we were talking about 111(d), which is 1430 before the Supreme Court right now, and she gave us her 1431 theory of why she thought she was right. We are not saying that we are right or you are right, we are simply asking what 1432 1433 is your theory? What are you -- where does the money come 1434 from, in your view? 1435 Secretary {Burwell.} That is something, as I said--why 1436 don't I work to get back to you on where we feel comfortable-1437 1438 Mr. {Whitfield.} Okay. Secretary {Burwell.} --with regard to where the 1439 1440 litigation is, and I would like to come back on that. 1441 Mr. {Whitfield.} Well, I must say, I have been impressed with your facility to use numbers. You were--you 1442 are really tuned into the budget, responding to Mr. Pitts, 1443 1444 responding to Mr. Green about the community health centers. 1445 I was a Rotary Club meeting recently--1446 Secretary {Burwell.} Um-hum. 1447 Mr. {Whitfield.} --and I was asked the question--they 1448 said, Congressman, can you tell us what dollar amount has 1449 been incurred by the Federal Government as a result of state